IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION.

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO.1538 OF 2006

S.V.Bhat,
Intelligence Office, NCB : Petitioner.

versus

Ahmed Hussain Ayub Shaikh
@ Babloo & ors. : Respondents.

Mr.D.N.Salvi for the Petitioners.
Mr.Atul Sarpande for Respondent Nos.1 to 6.
Mr.A.R.Khan for Respondent No.2.
Mrs.A.S.Pai, APP, for the State.

CORAM : D.G.DESHPANDE
S.A.BOBDE, JJ.
DATED : AUGUST 04, 2006.

P.C.

1. By consent, Rule, returnable forthwith.

2. Heard the advocate for petitioner and advocate for Respondents. Division Bench of this Court in Writ Petition No.2827 of 2005 decided on 28th February 2006 directed the NDPS Court to

decide the case within six months and the prosecution were directed to keep the witnesses ready. It appears that some witnesses were examined. Then prosecution applied for adjournment on 16th June 2006. An order came to be passed to the effect that adjournment was refused and the matter was adjourned to 28th June 2006 for recording the statement of accused. Advocate Mr.Salvi appearing for the petitioner states that he had examined certain witnesses, summonses were issued to the remaining witnesses, and looking to the nature of the case, last chance of one month be granted.

3. In the circumstances, extension of one month from today is granted. Prosecution to keep all their witnesses ready on day-to-day basis before the trial Court. It is clarified that no further extension will be granted and the trial Court will be at liberty to pass appropriate orders in the circumstances. This order should not be treated as a precedent for getting extension on such ground in future. This Writ Petition is disposed of accordingly.

[D.G.DESHPANDE,J]

[S.A.BOBDE, J]